

On the geometry of the Hawking Turok instanton

Pedro F. González-Díaz *

Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental,
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain

March 20, 1998

Abstract

We discuss the coordinate complexification necessary to obtain a spatially homogeneous open universe in the singular instantonic solution recently suggested by Hawking and Turok, concluding that only the time coordinate σ of the spatially inhomogeneous De Sitter-like Lorentzian solution and its scale factor should be rotated in order to continue into a Lorentzian open universe.

The need for formulating open inflationary models with $\Omega < 1$ comes mainly from recent estimates of the present Hubble constant [1-4] and density of gravitational lenses in the universe [5,6]. However, most of the known inflationary models predict a value for Ω very close to unity and cannot, therefore, conform these new observations. It is a rather ironic fact that the first proposed solution to the percolation problem of the original Guth scenario for inflation was given in terms of an open inflationary model [7], but this paper was largely darkened by the almost immediate publication of the proposal for the new inflationary model [8,9]. Quite recently a new approach to solve this problem has been advanced by Hawking and Turok [10], based on the idea that open homogeneous universes can be created from nothing, without an intermediate stage involving false vacuum, using the Hartle-Hawking no boundary [11] and a rather generic potential. The appearance of this seminal paper has already prompted an influx of comments, criticisms and new work on the subject [12].

The way in which one can construct the geometry of the Hawking-Turok instanton has also been discussed by Unruh [13]. Here, we would like to contribute the latter discussion, clarifying the meaning of some details on the complexification method employed in the construction of the instanton. Such a method starts with the Euclidean solution to Einstein equations [10]

$$ds^2 = d\sigma^2 + b(\sigma)^2 \left(d\psi^2 + \sin^2 \psi d\Omega_2^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

⁰ Email: iodpf21@cc.csic.es

with $d\Omega_2^2$ the metric on the unit two-sphere. In order to convert solution (1) into the metric for an open spatially homogeneous universe with real scale factor, Hawking and Turok used two separate continuations [10]. First, they matched (1) to the spatially inhomogeneous De Sitter-like Lorentzian solution

$$ds^2 = d\sigma^2 + b(\sigma)^2 \left(-d\tau^2 + \cosh^2 \tau d\Omega_2^2 \right), \quad (2)$$

across the surface $\psi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ by making the continuation $\psi = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\tau$, and then they continued (2) into the metric of a Lorentzian isotropic open universe,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(\sigma)^2 \left(d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi d\Omega_2^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

by using the additional continuation

$$\tau = \frac{\pi}{2}i + \chi, \quad \sigma = it, \quad b(it) = ia(t)$$

at the singular surface $\sigma = 0$ where $b = \sigma$.

Since in the coordinates σ, τ of metric (2) $\sigma = 0$ is a null surface which is similar to the horizon in Rindler coordinates or the Schwarzschild solution, Unruh has pointed out [13] that the second of the above two continuations is not necessary and that it should be replaced for a coordinate extension similar to that of Kruskal for black holes, so going beyond the singular surface $\sigma = 0$ into the region described by a metric like (3). In order to implement such an extension he introduced a conformal coordinate defined by

$$\Sigma = \int_0^\sigma \frac{d\sigma'}{b(\sigma')}$$

and Kruskal-like coordinates $U = e^{-\tau+\Sigma}$, $V = -e^{\tau+\Sigma}$. One can then obtain from (2)

$$ds^2 = \frac{b(\sigma)^2}{e^{2\Sigma}} \left(-dUdV + \frac{1}{4}(U - V)^2 d\Omega_2^2 \right). \quad (4)$$

Denoting $F = \frac{b(\sigma)^2}{e^{2\Sigma}}$ and introducing new coordinates T and χ through $U = e^{T-\chi}$, $V = e^{T+\chi}$, one finally get for the metric

$$ds^2 = Fe^{2T} \left(-dT^2 + d\chi^2 + \sinh^2 \chi d\Omega_2^2 \right). \quad (5)$$

Then, Unruh claimed a metric like (5) to be the equation for an open spatially homogeneous universe with scale factor $e^T \sqrt{F}$. However, it can be seen that the function F is given by $F = -b^2 e^{-2T}$ and thereby is negative definite, so that the scale factor in (5) is pure imaginary and hence the extension across the singularity at $\sigma = 0$ used by Unruh actually implies some complexification of the coordinates involved at metric (5). One can readily see that, in order for metric (5) to really be the equation for an open spatially homogeneous universe with real, positive definite scale factor and real coordinates, the conformal coordinate Σ and the Kruskal-like coordinates U, V need to be kept all real and unchanged,

so that finally T and χ would remain real as well. Clearly, this can only be achieved if we simultaneously rotate σ and b so that $\sigma = it$ and $b(it) = ia(t)$, such as Hawking and Turok originally did [10], though contrary to these authors, we must keep coordinate τ real and unchanged, instead of complexifying it with a constant imaginary term $\frac{\pi}{2}i$ [10]. Thus, although the extension suggested by Unruh relaxes the part of the complexification that corresponds to this constant term, it cannot avoid the rest of it.

In summary, what the solution of Hawking and Turok requires to lead to an open universe in a natural way is, on the one hand, the complexification from the real to the Euclidean metric and, on the other hand, an extension into the open universe sector which should be accompanied by a rotation of the scale factor induced only by the Wick rotation of the original σ coordinate.

Acknowledgements For useful correspondence the author thanks W. Unruh. This research was supported by DGICYT under research project N PB94-0107.

References

- 1 A.G. Riess, W.H. Press and R.P. Kirshner, Ap. J. 438, L17 (1995).
- 2 J. Mould and W. Freedman, Nature 381, 555 (1996)
- 3 A. Sandage et al., Nature 381, 555 (1996).
- 4 T. Kundic et al., Ap. J. 482, 75 (1997).
- 5 E.L. Turner, Ap. J. 365, L43 (1990).
- 6 M. Fukugita, T. Futamase and M. Kasai, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 246, 24P (1990).
- 7 J.R. Gott, Nature 295, 304 (1982); astro-ph/9712344
- 8 A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B108, 389 (1982).
- 9 A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1220 (1982).
- 10 S.W. Hawking and N. Turok, hep-th/9802030, to appear in Phys. Lett. B.
- 11 J.B. Hartle and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D28, 1960 (1983).
- 12 A.D. Linde, gr-qc/9802038; S.W. Hawking and N. Turok, gr-qc/9802062; A. Vilenkin, hep-th/9803084; W.Z. Chao, hep-th/9803121; R. Bousso and A.D. Linde, gr-qc/9803068; N. Turok and S.W. Hawking, hep-th/9803156 .
- 13 W. Unruh, gr-qc/9803050.